Nos. 306-307

FILED
OCT 4 1950
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

# Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM—1950

EDWIN H. STERN JR.,

*Petitioner,*

—v.—

TEEVAL CO. INC.,

*Respondent.*

## BRIEF FOR RESPONDENT IN OPPOSITION TO WRITS OF CERTIORARI

ROBERT S. FOUGNER
FRANK S. KETCHAM
*Counsel for Teeval Co. Inc., Respondent.*

OSBORNE A. MCKEGNEY
SHANLEY, MCKEGNEY & FOUGNER

FRANK J. WHELAN JR.
SPENCER & LOUISELL

*Of Counsel.*

# INDEX


PAGE

Opinions Below and Jurisdiction ..... 3

Questions Presented ..... 3

Statement ..... 4

The Opinion of the Court of Appeals ..... 5

Summary of Argument ..... 8

ARGUMENT ..... 10

Preliminary Statement ..... 10

I—The Sharkey Law is unconstitutional because it violates the supremacy clause ..... 10

a) The Sharkey Law constitutes the attempted exercise of local legislative power in a field preempted by an Act of Congress ..... 11

b) The Sharkey Law conflicts with the Federal Act ..... 16

II—The Sharkey Law violates the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment ..... 28

a) The Local Law is an arbitrary exercise of the police power ..... 28

b) The Local Law takes property without the just compensation prescribed by Congress .... 30

PAGE

III—The Validating Act takes property retroactively without just compensation ..... 31

The Court correctly held Section 13A (State Rent Law) unconstitutional ..... 32

Preliminary Statement ..... 32

IV—Section 13A violates the supremacy clause ..... 33

V—Section 13A violates the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment ..... 41

a) The State cannot found an emergency upon the lawful acts of the Federal Government .... 41

b) The finding of an emergency was arbitrary .... 42

c) Section 13A is distinguished from the Portal to Portal Act of 1947 (61 Stat. 84-90) ..... 43

CONCLUSION ..... 44

Appendix A Digest Cong. debate on Fair Net Operating Formula ..... i

Appendix B Official OHE adjustment figures (applicable statutes, regulations and federal interpretations are set forth in Statutory Supplement A submitted with #327 and Statutory Supplement B submitted separately herewith) ..... xx

CITATIONS

PAGE

Bethlehem Steel Co. v. State Labor Bd., 330 U. S. 767 ..... 11, 14, 15, 18, 21, 22
Board v. Hearst Publications, 322 U. S. 111 ..... 20

Case v. Bowles, 327 U. S. 92 ..... 12
Chastleton Corp. v. Sinclair, 264 U. S. 543 ..... 29, 43
Cloverleaf Butter Co. v. Patterson, 315 U. S. 148 ..... 12, 14, 20, 26

Ettor v. City of Tacoma, 228 U. S. 148 ..... 32

F. T. B. Realty Corp. v. Goodman, 300 N. Y. 140 ..... 2, 4, 10, 16, 28
F. T. B. Realty Corp. v. Goodman, 300 N. Y. 745 ..... 2, 4
F. T. B. Realty Corp. v. Goodman, 301 N. Y. 768 ..... 4
Fleming v. Rhodes, 331 U. S. 100 ..... 37
Forbes Pioneer Boat Line v. Bd. Comm., 258 U. S. 138 ..... 32

Hill v. Florida, 325 U. S. 538 ..... 20
Hines v. Davidowitz, 312 U. S. 52 ..... 12, 15, 23, 26

International Shoe Co. v. Pinkus, 278 U. S. 261 ..... 15, 41

Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart, 253 U. S. 149 ..... 15

Leighton v. Jawrower, 301 N. Y. 368 ..... 4, 38
Levy Leasing Co. v. Siegel, 258 U. S. 242 ..... 35

Marcus Brown Holding Co. v. Feldman, 256 U. S. 170 ..... 35